

Minutes ICSE Steering Committee meeting

December 8, 2022

Chair: Laurie Williams

Minutes: Will Tracz

Participant count: 21 (at start)

- 9:03: Welcome new members:
 - 2026 General Chairs: Rafael Prikladnicki and Marcos Kalinowski

- 9:05: Approving May minutes - Moved to approve by Mathew Dwyer

- 9:10: ICSE 2024 (Rui and Ana and Peggy)
 - Lisbon, Portugal – April 12-21 2024
 - Website on https –
 - Slack account set
 - Organizing Committees and Chairs
 - CFP by end of Month
 -
 - 9:20: Expanding the PC for ICSE Research track and other PC concerns
 - Handling reviewer load and impact of each reviewer; if there is lack of availability of some reviewers during discussion despite reminders;
 - time dependencies across rounds [Peggy and Abhik]
 - v Multiple deadlines and registration deadline WRT digital library entry
 - v Some complications with workshop deadlines

- 9:50: ICSE 2026 (Rafael and Marcos)

Program chairs Spreadsheet shows Demographics – ICSE involvement breakout

 - [Most of the discussion cannot be shared due to confidentiality for the individuals being considered]
 - **Question** – do we want PC chairs from the same country as the General Chairs?
 - **Observation** – this is good data to determine threshold on involvement with ICSE community but more important is experience in organizational roles in large conferences.

- 10:20: ICSE 2027 proposals
 - withheld due to confidentiality of proposals
 - Steering committee vote to be held online
- 10:30: Break (21 participants)
- 10:40: Have a single submission style for papers,
 - avoiding to flip between ACM and IEEE.
 - Very often authors get confused and also the paper size is different. (Ladan and Tom)
 - Question – what is the extra page issue (11 pages IEEE and 10 ACM have same content)?
 - Issue: is initial submission format an issue if final publication is required
 - Different format is used for digital library metadata.
 - Recommendation: say 10 pages (or differentiate submission format vs publication format)?
- 10:55: Signed reviews (Laurie)
 - Double-anonymous reviewing: Should reviewers names be known?
 - Signing ensures not cutting corners / accountability
 - Current guidelines forbid signing reviews and consensus was to keep it that way
 - Does signing a review (or creating a side channel) disclose other reviewers?
 - Ask reviewees for feedback on the reviews.
- 11:10: Releasing PC data, elected SC members, publishing votes, and other feedback
 - Data can be misinterpreted – doing research on unreliable data is – (low empirical standards)
 - **Comment:** Still it is important to be transparent – provided there is a registered report
 - **Note:** There is inconsistency between ICSE conference data collected by year: format, analysis and other data
 - Data should be anonymized – which is hard. Have to protect PC members
 - **Question:** Do we need to have consent of PC members share data?
 - **Question:** Could we have an elected SC chair or member? (but we sort of have elections for members from IEEE and ACM) ... separate meeting on the topic to be held in January

- **Question:** Do we need to increase diversity? Do we need to focus on the process?
 - **Question:** Should ICSE use Open Review?
 - Is it possible to have an open election for SC chair from SC members- or Member at large – or observers / ombudsmen sworn to secrecy.
 - ICSE Town Hall has less discussion time than FSE.
- 11:25: ICSE 2022 (Matt)
 - Closed: surplus \$104K
 - Total attendance 2025
 - Part of surplus goes to future conferences.
- 11:30 ICSE 2025 (Tim and Lionel)
 - Will report back on next meeting (May) due to Pandemic reasons.
 - **Note:** need SEIP chair – one from industry as a minimum
- 12:00: New Operating Rules and Guidelines (Laurie)
 - Voted on two SEIP chairs on SC;
 - Voted on carbon neutral/sustainability;
 - Publication issues: number of volumes (General Chair issues)
 - **Problem:** getting DOIs available sooner – e.g, latency from getting information from IEEE to ACM DL (Structural inefficacy due to flip flop)
 - **Solution:** Could always have a subset of services allocated to IEEE and ACM)
 - **Question:** If you don't present a paper in ICSE – it doesn't go into the DL? (once it goes into a DL it is hard to go out)
 - **Observation:** It's complicated – can present virtually if legitimate reason not to attend.
 - PC Chairs and General Chair can't submit to any event,
- 12:30: What will be the steady state for annual deadlines for SIGSOFT/TCSE conferences?
 - ICSE – FSE – ASE
 - Will be posted for everyone
- 12:45: Monthly meeting (and time-of-day)
 - Need to set up agenda and attendees
 - Need to set up time of day
- 12:50: Peer review process (any changes to that)

- Must have consistency between tracks
- 1:05: Organization of the conference into tracks etc.
- 1:30: Journal first - overall and consideration of expansion? [Laurie]
 - No action to be taken WRT Journal First (e.g., IEEE SW wants to be part of ICSE)
 - General model is working – Stage time is limited
 - **Observation:** If make ICSE a journal only place then could eliminate review process
 - This year about 500 presentations – need a large venue.
 - Could limit general presentation and poster for others but still have a paper in the conference
 - Do IEEE SW papers belong in the SEIP track?
-
- 2:00 ICSE 2023 (John, Max) which includes a discussion on hybrid conferences
 - Melbourne May 16-20
 - 23 Workshops – 10 Co-located Events. showcase “Future of SE”
 - 794 submissions, 22 desk rejects, 46 withdrawn after rebuttals
 - Dominance of AI-related papers
 - **In-person vs Virtual vs Hybrid**
 - The venue has capability
 - All events will be between 9-5 Australian Eastern Time
 - All sessions will be recorded
 - Propose no remote attend
 - **Suggestions:**
 - Posters at end of session with shorter talks
 - Careful to ask for exceptions for remote presentations.
 - **Note:** Some countries state if possible to present remotely – then can’t attend.
 - **IEEE Trend:** 75% 80% in person – people reserve hotel rooms early but register to the conference late and do show up. 40% attend conference sign up in the last month of t
- 2:30 ICSE SC Task force on dearth of papers on early phases (via Jo Atlee)
 - Need to set up a committee
 - Observation: some CS departments don’t consider SW Engineering not respected
 - What is our objective? – SE ranking only 6th of 8 areas – and PL is most important area in CS depts.

- See: <https://cacm.acm.org/magazines/2022/12/266925-subfield-prestige-and-gender-inequality-among-us-computing-faculty/fulltext>
 - People not submitting to ICSE if there are specialized conferences
 - New topics have emerged in Software Engineering and some topics not submitted to ICSE
 - Large bulk were MSR
 - E.g., Requirements Engineering, SW Processes, SW Architecture, SW Management, Systems of Systems, modeling, Quantum Computing, Cyber Physical Systems.
 - **Problem:** Papers get accepted are very narrow with un-assailable evaluation
 - **Problem:** Reviewers have expectations on incremental works – so harder from new papers to get through.
 - **Problem:** Thesis supervisors are telling students “This is the way to get into ICSE” – e.g., have to do with AI, download 1000 files from GitHub.
 - Few submissions – and most rejected.
 - **Question:** How do we get them back?
 - The community is doing “Lamppost” research – but real issues are still out there in the dark
 - **Observation:** Pressure to publish yearly – easier to go incremental
 - **Observation:** Industry papers are less likely to be accepted
 - **Proposal:** Get more industry papers and have awards.
- **Side Discussion:** How go get more industry involved (**Hausi, Darko**)
 - Have workshops during conference
 - Have tutorials during conference
 - Don't make SEIP a separate track but make ICSE embrace Industry
 - Invite other conference PC Chairs to summarize their industry contributions
 - **Note:** Hausi has some experience to share.